

**FORM PTO-1449 TO BE FILED WITH
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

U.S. Department of Commerce
Patent and Trademark Office

Atty. Docket No. OHI1717-006 Serial No. 10/724,526

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANTS

Robert E. Arbogast et al.
Applicant

November 28, 2003
Filing Date

3673
Group Art Unit

Examiner's name

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class/Sub-class
CK	4,319,136	03/09/1982	Jinkins	250/456
CK	4,923,474	05/08/1990	Klasson et al.	623/33
CK	4,923,475	05/08/1990	Gosthnian et al.	623/37
CK	4,971,060	11/20/1990	Schneider, et al.	128/653
CK	5,258,037	11/02/1993	Caspers	623/36
CK	5,295,483	03/22/1994	Nowacki, et al.	128/660
CK	5,376,132	12/27/1994	Caspers	623/36
CK	5,603,122	02/18/1997	Kania	2/239
CK	5,830,237	11/03/1998	Kania	623/37
CK	5,911,126	06/08/1999	Massen	702/153
CK	5,954,647	09/21/1999	Bova, et al.	600/407
CK	6,075,883	06/13/2000	Stern, et al.	382/144
CK	6,125,197	09/26/2000	Mack, et al.	382/154
CK	6,259,942	07/10/2001	Westermann, et al.	600/426
CK	6,406,499	06/18/2002	Kania	623/36
CK	20020103545	08/01/2002	Arbogast et al.	623/36

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Country/Name	Translation? yes/no
CK	WO 03/003145 A2	01/09/2003	PCT	N/A

OTHER DOCUMENTS

- OK 1. [http://www.owwco.com/HTML Viewer.asp?PK=whatist. . .](http://www.owwco.com/HTMLViewer.asp?PK=whatist...), "What is Tracer?", 2 web pages, 08/15/2003.
- OK 2. <http://tracercad.com/product.html>, "Tracer Cad Products, The Tracer Premier System, 1 web page, 08/15/2003.
- OK 3. <http://www.tracercad.com/t-ring.html>., Tracer Cad Products, Omega T-Ring, 1 web page, 08/15/2003.
- OK 4. <http://www.tracercad.com/fabrication.html>, Tracer Cad Fabrication, Tracer Central Fabs, 1 web page, 08/15/2003.
- OK 5. <http://www.tracercad.com/aboutus.html>, Tracercad About Us, Why Omega, 1 web page, 08/15/2003.
- OK 6. <http://www.tracercad.com/perspective.html>, Tracercad About Us, the O&P Perspective, 1 web page, 08/15/2003.
- OK 7. Publication by Ohio Willow Wood entitled Perpetual Motion, 12 pages.

Examiner <i>Chad King</i>	Date Considered <i>11/23/05</i>
---------------------------	---------------------------------

Examiner: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given document may have a later effective date than at first seems apparent or the document may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any document is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.



FORM PTO-1449 TO BE FILED WITH
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

U.S. Department of Commerce : Atty. Docket No.: OHI 1717-006
Patent and Trademark Office : Serial No.: 10/724,526
: Filing Date: November 28, 2003
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION : Applicant: Arbogast, et al.
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT : Group Art Unit: 2125
BY APPLICANT : Examiner: Charles R. Kasenge

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Name(s)	Class/ Sub-class
CR	5,888,216	03/30/1999	Haberman	623/36
CR	6,177,034	01/23/2001	Ferrone	264/40.1
CR	6,358,453	03/19/2002	Slemker, et al.	264/222
CR	6,463,351	10/08/2002	Clynch	700/163

Examiner <i>Charles R. Kasenge</i>	Date Considered <i>11/23/05</i>
------------------------------------	---------------------------------

Examiner: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given document may have a later effective date than at first seems apparent or the document may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any document is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.